



www.mbf-law.com

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP
Madison Office
 One South Pinckney Street
 P.O. Box 1806
 Madison, WI 53701-1806
 Telephone (608) 257-3501
 FAX (608) 283-2275

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: May 25, 2000

To:

NAME	FAX NO.	PHONE NO.
Examiner Bradley L. Sisson U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Group Art Unit 1643 Office: P/1655; Room 12-E07	703/305-3014	703/308-3978

FROM: Karen B. King

PHONE: (608) 283-0110

SENT BY: Diane J. Frauchiger

EXTENSION: 7528

LOCATION: 9th floor

NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER:		4	
CLIENT MATTER NUMBER:	16026/9038	SENDER'S ACCOUNT NUMBER:	823

NOTES/COMMENTS:

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 26 2000

GROUP 1600

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, OR MAY BE PROPRIETARY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION OF A CLIENT, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR ANY AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES OR IF YOU EXPERIENCE FAX TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, PLEASE CALL FAX DEPARTMENT AT (608) 257-3501, Ext. 7358 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

**MICHAEL BEST
& FRIEDRICH LLP**
Attorneys at Law

www.mbf-law.com

One South Pinckney Street
P.O. Box 1808
Madison, WI 53701-1806
FAX (608) 283-2275
Telephone (608) 257-3501Writer's Direct Line: (608) 283-0110
Email: kbking@mbf-law.comOffices in:
Milwaukee, Wisconsin
Manitowoc, Wisconsin
Chicago, Illinois
(Michael Best & Friedrich LLC)Member: Lex Mundi,
A Global Network of more than
150 Independent Firms

May 25, 2000

VIA FACSIMILE
703-308-8724Examiner Bradley L. Sisson
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231

Re: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/475,958
For: CELL CONCENTRATION AND LYSATE CLEARANCE USING
PARAMAGNETIC PARTICLES
File No. 16026-9038

Dear Examiner Sisson:

Thank you for agreeing to speak with Grady Frenchick, Rex Bitner, and me over the telephone earlier today. As a follow-up to our conversation, below is a summary of the changes to the claims which we agreed to have you make to the above-cited application, in order to further prosecution thereof. The only change made in the proposed edits, below, since our conversation earlier today, was to move the Markush group describing the "magnetic particles" from immediately after introduction of the term "magnetic particles" to the end of the phrase. That additional change is proposed herein to further clarify the subject matter which Applicants consider to be the invention.

1. A method of using magnetic particles to concentrate or harvest cells, comprising the steps of:
 - (a) combining [a solution with] cells [contained therein] with magnetic particles under conditions wherein the cells form a complex with the magnetic particles, wherein said magnetic particles are selected from the group consisting of: pH-dependent ion exchange magnetic particles, and silica magnetic particles consisting essentially of a magnetic core coated with siliceous oxide having a hydrous siliceous oxide adsorptive surface; and
 - (b) isolating the complex from the solution by application of magnetic force.
8. A method of clearing a solution of disrupted biological material, according to steps comprising:
 - (a) providing a solution comprising a disrupted biological material and a target nucleic acid;

**MICHAEL BEST
& FRIEDRICH LLP**
Attorneys at Law

Examiner Robert Sisson
May 25, 2000
Page 2

(b) combining the solution with second magnetic particles under conditions wherein the disrupted biological material selectively forms a complex with the second magnetic particles, wherein said second magnetic particles are selected from the group consisting of: pH-dependent ion exchange magnetic particles, and silica magnetic particles consisting essentially of a magnetic core coated with siliceous oxide having a hydrous siliceous oxide adsorptive surface; and

(c) separating the complex from the solution by application of magnetic force.

21. A method of isolating a target nucleic acid from a disrupted biological material, comprising the target nucleic acid, a first non-target material, and a second non-target material, comprising the steps of:

(a) combining a solution of the disrupted biological material with first magnetic particles under conditions wherein the first non-target material selectively forms a first complex with the first magnetic particles, wherein said first magnetic particles are selected from the group consisting of: pH-dependent ion exchange magnetic particles, and silica magnetic particles consisting essentially of a magnetic core coated with siliceous oxide having a hydrous siliceous oxide adsorptive surface;

(b) separating the first complex from the solution of disrupted biological material by application of magnetic force, forming a cleared solution comprising the target nucleic acid and the second non-target material;

(c) combining the cleared solution with second magnetic particles under conditions wherein the target nucleic acid adsorbs to the second magnetic particles, forming a second complex;

(d) isolating the second complex from the cleared solution;

(e) washing the second complex by combining the second complex with a wash solution and separating the second complex from the wash solution by magnetic force; and

(f) combining the washed second complex with an elution solution, under conditions wherein the target material is desorbed from the second magnetic particles.

We also agreed to have the numbering of claims 30-36, as filed, changed to claims 28-34 to correct a typographic error in the claims as filed. Finally, we agreed to cancel [renumbered] claims 30-34, without prejudice, if the cancellation of those claims would further prosecution of the above-cited application.

**MICHAEL BEST
& FRIEDRICH LLP**
Attorneys at Law

Examiner Robert Sisson

May 25, 2000

Page 3

You indicated that if the above-cited amendments to the claims were found by the Examiner to be acceptable, we might need to have new Declarations executed by the inventors. We expressed a willingness to have new Declarations signed, once the patentability of the claims, after amendment as shown above is agreed upon.

Please feel free to contact Grady Frenchick or me if at any time you have questions on any of the above, or if you require any further information from us before preparing what we hope will be a first Office Action with a Notice of Allowance.

Sincerely yours,

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP



Karen B. King

KBK:djf